Appendix A Environmental Scoping Report

APPENDIX A ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING REVIEW

1.1. Introduction

The purpose of the Environmental Scoping Review is to make an early assessment of potential environmental impacts and opportunities associated with the proposed project. The purpose of this review is to determine an overall environmental risk rating for the project and identify whether further environmental assessments are warranted as part of the pre-construction process, which then informs the survey and assessment methodologies adopted for the REF.

The overall environmental risk rating for the project is based on:

- existing environmental values
- the scope of works and potential impacts from the works
- legislative triggers likely associated with the works.

A risk rating of low, medium or high has been determined. Where a medium or high risk is identified, further environmental investigation and assessment is likely to be warranted.

1.2. Methodology

The scoping review was completed in April 2016 and involved desktop environmental assessment primarily drawing on information detailed in previous investigations. Information sources included:

- Beerburrum to Landsborough Track Duplication Environmental and Planning Study, Trackstar Alliance 2007
- Landsborough to Nambour Rail Corridor Study Environmental Impact Statement, Arup 2009
- Beerburrum to Nambour Rail Project Environmental Approvals Summary, SMEC 2014
- Queensland Globe dataset, Version 2.0, DNRM 2016
- MinesOnlineMaps Info 3.2.1 dataset, updated February 2016, DNRM 2016
- Aerial imagery supplied by Building Queensland

1.3. Scoping Assessments

Water Risk Rating – Medium

Factors Identification - Factors present, or potentially present, within / near to the project footprint

☑ Freshwater (Water Quality, Drainage, Groundwater, Sourcing Water, Interfering with Water)

☐ Marine and Coastal (Coastal, marine environment and waters and all non-freshwater)

No significant factors are present within the Project Area. The project footprint is not located within:

- A Wetland management / trigger area;
- Tidal waters;
- A Coastal Management District (CMD);
- A Fish Habitat Area (FHA); or
- A Ramsar Wetland.

The proposed footprint crosses more than 50 waterways, many of which are permanent in nature. The project crosses six catchments including Pumicestone Passage, Mooloolah River, Maroochy River, Caboolture River, Elimbah Creek and Mellum Creek. Water within the catchments generally flows from the D'Aguilar Range in the west towards the eastern coastline.

Many of these catchments are significant from an ecological, recreational and visual perspective. Of particular significance, the Pumicestone Passage is listed under the Ramsar Convention, being an important feeding and roosting site for migratory birds, and the mouth of the Maroochy River is a fish habitatarea. Whilst in the Pumicestone Passage catchment, the Project Area is located approximately 12km inland from the Ramsar Area boundary.

The Project Area also crosses the Ewen Maddock Dam Water Resource Catchment Area.

The presence of groundwater bores in the Project Area indicates the importance of the groundwater resources to the local community. Areas of groundwater are also important from a biological diversity perspective.

Impacts, Opportunities and Mitigation Measures

Most potential impacts are generally applicable throughout the Project Area and primarily include impacts to riparian and stream integrity, as well as to water quality. Potential impacting processes to surface water and groundwater resources primarily result from the construction of the project and the decommissioning of the existing railway, as follows:

- Vegetation clearing and channel disturbance
- Surface water quality modifications
- Groundwater quality modifications
- Reduction in groundwater resources
- Alteration in surface water flows

Planning and Design

- Design bridges and culverts to allow continued water movement.
- Minimise use of in-stream barriers during construction.
- Minimise riparian vegetation removal and works in riparian, bank or in-stream areas.
- Drainage should consider soil type including potential for dispersivity. Where dispersive soils are present, drainage channels should be armoured to minimise contact between water and soil.

Construction

- Implement erosion and sediment control measures.
- Stabilise exposed/disturbed soils.
- Manage fuel and chemical handling, storage, distribution and spill response.
- Use bunded areas to store harmful substances.
- Rehabilitate disturbed areas post construction.
- Maintain (or imitate) stream flow patterns as closely as possible.
- Ensure water released from site is of similar quality to the receiving waters.
- Carry out regular water quality monitoring (surface and ground water).

Operation

Undertake routine maintenance of drainage structures to ensure continued performance.

Relevant Legislation – identify any applicable permits, codes or other regulatory requirements		
☑ Applicable legislation	Water Act 2000	
	Environmental Protection Act 1994-compliance with General	
	Environmental Duty requirements	
	Environment Protection (Water) Policy 2009	
	Fisheries Act 1994 for constructing or raising waterway barrier works	
☑ Identified permits,	Riverine Protection Permit if creek realignments are determined to be	
codes or other	necessary	
requirements	Water Licence for a watercourse diversion	
·	All other works exempt in accordance with the Riverine Protection Permit	
	exemption guideline.	

SOIL / LAND MANAGEMENT

Risk Rating - LOW

Factors Identification - Factors present, or potentially present, within / near to the project footprint				
□ Contaminated Land	⊠ Soil			
□ Erosion and Sediment Control	☐ Landscape Architecture			

The Project Area is underlain by a combination of Triassic and Jurassic Landsborough Sandstone. Some areas of Quaternary alluvium and colluvium area shown where drainage channels occur. The alluvium is typically associated with low lying, low relief wetlands, while the sandstone is typically associated with moderate relief terrain with some higher relief ridges. There are also

Topographical constraints in the Study Area include creeks and dams, hills and gullies and mountains. There are more than 50 creek / drainage line crossings within the Project Area which will require culvert or bridge structures. Some dams on agricultural land also fall within the Project Area and will require bridging. The topography within the Project Area involves significant variations in natural grades, with many hills and gullies. The volcanic intrusions of Mount Beerwah and Mount Tibrogargan also lie within the Project Area.

Acid sulfate soils are associated with lowlying areas below 5m AHD such as the alluvium plains where groundwater is generally close to the surface. As such, the main risk zones are likely to be associated with the drainage features and in the vicinity of wetlands, should be they be disturbed. No risk zones were identified in the DERM mapping, however, the alluvial plains associated with Petrie Creek and Paytner Creed are designated acid sulfate soil risk zones.

Impacts, Opportunities and Mitigation Measures

Planning and Design

- Conduct detailed acid sulfate soil sampling and prepare management plans as part of the detailed design phase.
- Conduct geotechnical investigations to inform the detailed design process (especially in relation to tunnels).
- Update searches of the EMR and CLR for any lots to be acquired for the corridor.

Construction

- Implement erosion and sediment control measures during construction.
- Avoid carrying out earthworks after heavy rain.
- Use slope stabilisation measures on slopes that re susceptible as required.
- Use tunnel liningsifrequired, depending on ground water conditions.
- Stockpile top-soil during construction activities for re-use.
- Re-use fill gained from construction activities along the project if possible.
- Source appropriate ballast material and investigate the potential for re-using ballast material from the existing railway.
- Install drainage channels at the top of the batter crests to prevent face erosion.
- Test soils in the vicinity of the existing railway for contamination and determine if these need to be removed.
- Carry out soil sampling to determine levels of contamination where the existing railway will be decommissioned for other uses.

Legislation – identify any applicable permits, codes or other regulatory requirements				
	Environmental Protection Act 1994 – disposal of contaminated soil			
☐ Identified permits,				
codes or other				
requirements				

BIODIVERSITY		RISK RATING: HIGH		
Factors Identification - Facto	rs present, or potential	ly present, within / near to the project footprint		
□ Protected fauna		⊠ Ecosystems and Habitats		
☑ Protected flora		□ Pest flora and fauna		
Impacts, Opportunities and I	Mitigation Measures			
		e identified factors. Suggest mitigation measures to be		
considered as part of plannir				
		or other regulatory requirements		
□ Applicable legislation	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999			
	Nature Conservation Act 1992			
	Environmental Offsets Act 2014 and Environmental Offsets Policy			
	Fisheries Act 1994 Vegetation Manage	ment Act 1000		
	Plant protection	ment Act 1999		
□ Identified permits,	EPBC Act Referral			
codes or other		Protected Plants clearing permit		
requirements		t Programs/Fauna Management Plans		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		orks development permit		
CHI THEAT HERITAGE		DICK DATING: HIGH		
CULTURAL HERITAGE		RISK RATING: HIGH		
	rs present, or potentiall	ly present, within / near to the project footprint		
☐ Indigenous heritage		⊠ Natural Heritage		
Areas likely to be classified as		CH duty of care in Study Area		
Impacts, Opportunities and I		vias .		
CHRA Required to determine Historic Heritage study to ide				
		or other regulatory requirements		
□ Applicable legislation	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003			
	_	Heritage Duty of Care Guidelines		
		tion and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999		
M Identified nermits	QR Heritage register			
☐ Identified permits,	Cultural Heritage Su	vey/s nagement Plans and/or Agreement		
codes or other requirements	Cultural Heritage Ma	anagement Frans and/or Agreement		
requirements				
PUBLIC AMENITY / HEA	LTH	RISK RATING: LOW to MED		
Factors Identification - Facto	rs present, or potentiall	y present, within / near to the project footprint or		
associated with the project		,		
⊠ Air				
⊠ Noise		☐ Fire and Burning		
Railway noise and operations				
Air quality assessed as low ris				
Noise assessed as medium due				
Impacts, Opportunities and I				
noise monitoring being under				
		or other regulatory requirements		
□ Applicable legislation □ Appli	EPP Noise			
☐ Identified permits,	QR Rail Planning Lev	UK Kali Planning Levels		
codes or other	1			

requirements

RESOURCE USE AND MAI	NAGEMENT	Risk Rating: Low	
Resources Identification – Res	ources potentially used	or impacted upon by the project	
⊠ Waste		☐ Material Extraction and Use	
□ Chemicals, Dangerous Good	ds and Explosives		
techniques, will be stored on t chemicals may be stored on th	he project site during the site during the	y explosives depending on adopted construction ne construction of the Project, and potentially al activities. The main source of chemical spill during hinery or from small quantities stored at construction	
Impacts, Opportunities and M	itigation Measures		
Storage of chemicals, dangero transport and handling would a suitable bunded area with ag Explosives are classified as Cla Goods by Road and Rail and w Procedures would be developed.	us goods and explosive be in accordance with to propriate spill equipm ss 1 dangerous goods ir ould be managed in acced in the EMP (Constructed	the Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous	
Legislation – identify any appli			
		d Safety Act 1995 (Qld)	
- II	Dangerous Goods Safety Management Act 2001 Explosives Act 1999 Transport Infrastructure Dangerous Goods by Rail Regulation 2002		
□ Identified permits,		ne Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail	
codes or other	AS/NZS 2187 Explosiv	ves – Storage, Transport and Use	
requirements	AS/NZS 1940 2004 Th	e Storage and Handling of Flammable and	
	Combustible Liquids		
SPECIAL AREAS AND LAN		Risk Rating: Medium	
-	cial areas and land ten	ures potentially impacted upon by the project	
☐ Indigenous Land Tenures		☐ Forestry Land	
☐ Commonwealth Land			
The project will impact on Stat			
Impacts, Opportunities and M	•	antica identificad desira a carriera in cationatica at a card	
new impacts as a result of new		erties identified during previous investigations and s (eg grade separation)	
Legislation – identify any appli	•	other regulatory requirements	
□ Applicable legislation	Forestry Act		
	Acquisition of Land A	ct	
☐ Identified permits,			
codes or other			
requirements			
POSSIBLE ENVIRONMEN	TAL OFFSETS		
Commonwealth Environment			
☐ There are EPBC Protected N			
_	-	Unlikely ⊠ Possible □ Likely	
-			
•	nsets		
·	atal Cignificance:	tod by Droccribed Activity, to be determined	
	itai sigiiinicance impac	ted by Frescribed Activity – to be determined	
Could there has cignificant ro	sidualimnact on the ma	itter? \square Unlikely \boxtimes Possible \square Likely	
Further survey to be undertake Queensland Environmental Of Prescribed Activity - no Matter of State Environmental	n to determine ffsets	Unlikely ⊠ Possible □ Likely ted by Prescribed Activity – to be determined	

1.4. Summary and Recommendations

The project is considered a medium to high level, requiring further investigation particularly for cultural heritage and biodiversity.

Appendix B Flora and Fauna Field Investigation – Ecological Findings Report